

18681 Lake Drive East Chanhassen, MN 55317 952-607-6512 www.rpbcwd.org

Riley Purgatory Bluff Creek Watershed District Permit Application Review

Permit No: 2022-068 modification

Considered at Board of Managers Meeting: November 6, 2024

Application for Modification Received complete: October 22, 2024

Applicant: Craig Schmidt

Consultant: Loucks Inc.

Project: KIWATCHI home development– based on discovery of unexpected site soil conditions, the applicant is seeking approval of a modification of prior approval for proposed demolition of an existing single-family home and construction of four residential single-family homes and associated stormwater management facility.

Location: The northeast corner of Duck Lake Road and Claycross Way in Eden Prairie, MN

Reviewer: Katherine Tomaska, EIT, and Scott Sobiech, PE, Barr Engineering

Proposed Board Action

Manager _____ moved and Manager _____ seconded adoption of the following resolutions based on the permit report that follows and the presentation of the matter at the November 6, 2024 meeting of the managers:

Resolved that the application for modification to Permit 2022-068 is approved, subject to the conditions and stipulations set forth in the Recommendations section of the attached report;

Resolved that on determination by the RPBCWD administrator that the conditions of approval have been met, the RPBCWD president or administrator is authorized and directed to sign and deliver Permit 2022-068 to the applicant on behalf of RPBCWD.

Upon vote, the resolutions were adopted, _____ [VOTE TALLY].

Page | 1

Applicable Rule Conformance Summary

Rule	Issue	Conforms to RPBC	ND Rules?	Comments		
С	Erosion Control	Yes				
	Plan					
D	Wetland and Creek	Yes				
	Buffers					
J	Stormwater	Rate	Yes			
	Management	Volume	Yes			
		Water Quality	Yes			
		Low Floor Elev.	Yes			
		Maintenance	See	See rule-specific permit condition		
			comment.	J1related to amending the recorded		
				stormwater facility maintenance		
				declaration.		
		Chloride	Yes			
		Management				
		Wetland Protection	Yes			
L	Permit Fee Deposit	Yes		\$3000 received September 15 2022.		
				Permit fee replenishment in the		
				amount of \$7,826 was received on		
				August 17, 2023.		
м	Financial	See Comment		The financial assurance in the		
	Assurances			amount of \$66,897 was received on		
				August 17, 2023 and is adequate to		
				cover the proposed modifications.		

Project Description

The applicant originally proposed a redevelopment project involving the subdivision of an existing singlefamily home parcel into four single-family residences with associated sewer and utilities, and the construction of an infiltration basin for stormwater management. The project also included the removal of an existing gravel drive and demolition of an existing home and driveway. No street or other impervious common-area construction was included in the plans. The 1.93-acre project site is located at the northeast corner of Duck Lake Trail and Claycross Way in Eden Prairie. The applicant is now seeking approval of a revised stormwater-management plan after the discovery of soil conditions that are not conducive to infiltration.

The water resources within the project site or downgradient of the proposed activities are summarized in the following table. The table also provides a brief explanation of how each resource is implicated in the permit application review process.

The board of managers conditionally approved the applicant's original permit application at the April 12, 2023 meeting. The applicant fulfilled the conditions of approval, and the permit was issued on August 21, 2023. The applicant conducted land-disturbing activities and built the approved stormwater infiltration basin. During 2024, the applicant observed standing water in the infiltration basin. While the applicant

conducted in-situ infiltration testing at the basin location during design, observed an infiltration rate of 0.39 inches per hour (in/hr), and selected a design rate of 0.2 in/hr, the water in the infiltration basin did not draw down as designed. The applicant performed diagnostics on the basin in late-September by dewatering and excavating a test pit in the bottom of the basin to discover the following conditions:

- The basin bottom did not appear sealed by fines during construction
- Clay from surface to about 1' depth; silty/clayey sands from 1' 4'; groundwater level at 3.5' depth
- Groundwater was not observed during the soil borings, but the presence of groundwater in the test pits after several weeks without precipitation suggests the seasonally high groundwater is less than 3 feet below the pond bottom.



Because of the proximately of the groundwater to the bottom of the BMP and the lack of observed infiltration despite construction in accordance with the approved design, the engineer concurs infiltration is not feasible and the abstraction standard in subsection 3.1b of Rule J cannot practicably be met, the site is considered restricted and stormwater runoff volume is required to be managed in accordance with subsection 3.3 of Rule J.

Because the requested permit modification only impacts the site stormwater management, a summary of the changes to the stormwater management analysis relative to the criteria in Rule J is presented below. Because permit 2022-068 expired on April 12, 2024, approval of the modification application would require reinstatement of the permit. The proposed terms and conditions of approval of the modification request, as provided below and as may be modified by the managers, will modify the prior approvals where applicable.

Water Resource	Projected resource impacts
Wetland 1	A Wetland Conservation Act-protected wetland onsite and downgradient from but not disturbed by the proposed land-disturbing activities.
27-820-W	An off-site Public Water Wetland downgradient receiving direct runoff from the site for events greater than the 1-year rainfall

Water resource impacted by proposed project

The project site information is summarized below:

Project Site Information	Area (acres)
Total Site Area	1.93
Existing Site Impervious	0.21
Proposed Site Impervious Area	0.42
Change in Site Impervious Area	0.21 (100% increase)
Disturbed Impervious Area	0.21 (100% disturbed)
Exempt Impervious Area (boulevard sidewalks)	0.03
Regulated Impervious Surface	0.39
Total Disturbed Area	1.6

Materials reviewed for the modification request:

- 1. Site photos of test pit received September 23, 2024
- 2. Updated grading plan, utility plan, and civil detail received October 7, 2024 (revised October 15, 2024 and October 24, 2024)
- 3. Stormwater Management Report received October 7, 2024 (revised October 15, 2024 and October 24, 2024)
- 4. MIDS water quality model received October 7, 2024
- 5. P8 water quality model received October 15, 2024 (revised October 24, 2024)
- 6. Engineer's opinion of probable cost received October 24, 2024
- 7. Response to comments received October 15, 2024
- 8. Response to comments received October 24, 2024

(Because no relevant change is proposed, the original review of compliance under Rule C – Erosion and Sediment Control and Rule D – Wetland and Creek Buffers is not repeated here, but all relevant requirements remain applicable.)

Rule Specific Permit Conditions

Rule J: Stormwater Management

Because the project will disturb 1.6 acres of land-surface area, the project must meet the criteria of RPBCWD's Stormwater Management rule (Rule J, Subsection 2.1). The criteria listed in Subsection 3.1 will apply to the entire project site and all impervious area because the project will disturb 100% of the existing impervious surface and will increase the imperviousness of the entire site by 100% percent (i.e., more than 50 percent; Rule J, Subsection 2.3). The applicant proposes to convert the constructed basin to a biofiltration basin to provide volume control, water quality, and rate control.

Rate Control

In order to meet the rate control criteria listed in Subsection 3.1.a, the 2-, 10-, and 100-year post development peak runoff rates must be equal to or less than the existing discharge rates at all locations where stormwater leaves the site. The Applicant used a HydroCAD hydrologic model to simulate runoff rates for pre- and post-development conditions for the 2-, 10-, and 100-year frequency storm events using

a nested rainfall distribution, and a 100-year frequency, 10-day snowmelt event. The existing and proposed 2-, 10-, and 100-year frequency discharges from the site are summarized in the table below.

Modeled Discharge Location	2-Year Discharge (cfs)		10-Year Discharge (cfs)		100-Year Discharge (cfs)		10-Day Snowmelt (cfs)	
	Ex	Prop	Ex	Prop	Ex	Prop	Ex	Prop
Wetland 1 (onsite)	1.3	0.3	3.7	0.8	11.1	4.8	1.4	0.9
Duck Lake Rd	1.2	0.3	2.1	0.8	4.3	2.4	0.3	0
Claycross Way	0.4	0	0.5	0	0.9	0	<0.1	0

The proposed stormwater management plan will provide rate control in compliance with the RPBCWD requirements for the 2-, 10-, and 100-year events. Thus, the proposed project meets the rate control requirements in Rule J, Subsection 3.1a.

Volume Abstraction

Subsection 3.1.b of Rule J requires the abstraction onsite of 1.1 inches of runoff from all regulated impervious surface within the parcel. An abstraction volume of 1,549 cubic feet is required from the 0.39 acres (16,898 square feet) of regulated impervious area within the project site. In accordance with a city requirement, the applicant's site design provides for driveways that drain towards the street. The applicant proposes modifying an existing catch basin to send the runoff routed to Duck Lake Road into the on-site biofiltration basin. The modification will route flows up until the 1-year event into the biofiltration basin. In addition, the applicant proposes installing a catch basin on Claycross way to send runoff from the street to the proposed biofiltration basin. Plans indicate pretreatment for runoff entering the biofiltration basin is provided by vegetated yards and sump manholes, thus the proposed project conforms with RPBCWD Rule J, Subsection 3.1b.1.

Three soil borings in the Preliminary Geotechnical Exploration and Review Report conducted by American Engineering Testing, Inc. on January 11, 2022, indicate the site is predominately clayey sand soils. Soil boring B-4 was within the footprint of the proposed biofiltration basin. While groundwater was not encountered at the bottom of the boring, the presence of groundwater in the September 2024 test pit after several weeks without precipitation suggests the seasonally high groundwater is less than 3 feet below the pond bottom. In addition, the standing water in the basin indicates the water is unable to infiltrate at the design rate. Based on these findings, the applicant asserts – and the RPBCWD engineer concurs – that the site is restricted.

For restricted sites, subsection 3.3 of Rule J requires rate control in accordance with subsection 3.1.a and that abstraction and water-quality protection be provided in accordance with the following sequence: (a) Abstraction of 0.55 inches of runoff from site impervious surface determined in accordance with paragraphs 2.3, 3.1 or 3.2, as applicable, and treatment of all runoff to the standard in paragraph 3.1c; or

(b) Abstraction of runoff onsite to the maximum extent practicable and treatment of all runoff to the standard in paragraph 3.1c; or (c) Off-site abstraction and treatment in the watershed to the standards in paragraph 3.1b and 3.1c. Because the of the proximately of the groundwater to the bottom of the BMP, the lack of observed infiltration despite proper construction, and lack of communal area for irrigating with a reuse system, the engineer concurs that the applicant is providing abstraction via evapotranspiration in the biofiltration basin to the maximum extent practicable in accordance with subsection 3.3b of Rule J.

The table below summarizes the volume abstraction for the site.

Required	Required Abstraction	Provided Abstraction	Provided Abstraction
Abstraction Depth	Volume	Depth	Volume
(inches)	(cubic feet)	(inches)	(cubic feet)
0.55	775	0.04	60

Water Quality Management

Applicant is proposing a biofiltration basin to achieve the required TP and TSS removals and submitted a Minimal Impact Design Standards model to estimate the TP and TSS removals. The results of this modeling are summarized in Tables below showing the annual TSS and TP removal requirements are achieved and that there is no net increase in TSS and TP leaving the site. The engineer concurs with the modeling and finds that the proposed project is in conformance with Rule J, Subsection 3.1.c.

Annual TSS and TP removal summary:

Pollutant of Interest	Regulated Site Loading (lbs/yr)	Required Load Removal (lbs/yr)	Provided Load Reduction (lbs/yr)	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	693	624 (90%)	795 (>100%) ¹	
Total Phosphorus (TP)	2.3	1.38 (60%)	1.63 (>70.9%)	

¹ Load reduction is greater than 100% because the BMP is treating unregulated impervious surface from Duck Lake Road and Claycross drive.

Pollutant of Interest	Existing Site Loading (lbs/yr)	Proposed Site Load after Treatment (lbs/yr)	Change (Ibs/yr)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	561	154	-407
Total Phosphorus (TP)	1.87	1.52	-0.35

Low floor Elevation

All new buildings must be constructed such that the lowest floor is at least two feet above the 100-year high water elevation or one foot above the emergency overflow of a stormwater-management facility

according to Rule J, Subsection 3.6a. In addition, a stormwater-management facility must be constructed at an elevation that ensures that no adjacent habitable building will be brought into noncompliance with this requirement according to Rule J, Subsection 3.6b.

The low floor elevation of the proposed houses and the 100-year high water elevation of the biofiltration basin are summarized below. Because the low floor elevations of the proposed structures are more than two feet above the 100-year high water elevation of the stormwater facility, the proposed project is in conformance with Rule J, Subsection 3.6.

Lot Riparian to Stormwater Facility	Low Floor Elevation of Building (feet)	100-year Event Flood Elevation of Biofiltration Pond (feet)	Freeboard provided (feet)
Lot 1	902	897.74	4.26
Lot 2	901.5	897.74	3.76
Lot 3	901	897.74	3.26
Lot 4	900	897.74	2.26

Maintenance

Subsection 3.7 of Rule J requires the submission of a maintenance plan. All stormwater management structures and facilities must be designed for maintenance access and properly maintained in perpetuity to assure that they continue to function as designed. While the applicant recorded a maintenance declaration for the nonfunctional infiltration basin, the following revisions are needed:

J1. Permit applicant must provide a revised draft maintenance and inspection declaration for the biofiltration basin to RPBCWD for review and approval. Once approved by RPBCWD, the modification or new declaration must be recorded on the deed in a form acceptable to the District.

Chloride Management

Subsection 3.8 of Rule J requires the submission of chloride management plan that designates the individual authorized to implement the chloride management plan and the MPCA-certified salt applicator engaged in implementing the plan. The RPBCWD chloride-management plan requirement applies to the streets and common areas of the project site, but not the individual single-family homes. Because there are no street or common areas, Rule J, subsection 3.8 does not impose requirements on this project.

Wetland Protection

Because the proposed activities discharge to on-site Wetland 1 and off-site wetland 27-820-W, and alter the discharge the wetlands receive from the site, the project must conform to RPBCWD wetland protection criteria (Rule J, subsection 3.10).

Wetlands 1 and 27-820-W have been assessed as medium value wetlands. The following tables summarize the allowable change in bounce and inundation duration from Table J1 of RPBCWD Rule J as well as the applicant's analysis for wetland protection and the potential impacts on the wetlands. The Engineer concurs that the proposed project conforms to the wetland bounce and inundation requirements.

Summary of allowable impacts on onsite wetland from Kule J, Table J1								
Wetland Value/ Waterbody	Permitted Bounce for, 10-Year Event	Inundation Period for 1- and 2-Year Event	Inundation Period for 10-Year Event	Runout Control Elevation				
Medium	Existing +/- 1.0 feet	Existing+2 days	Existing +14 days	0 to 1.0 ft above existing runout				

Summary of allowable impacts on onsite wetland from Rule J, Table J1

Impacts of Project on Wetlands

Wetland	RPBCWD Wetland Value	Change in Bounce for, 10-Year Event (feet)	1-year change in Inundation Period (days)	2-year change in Inundation Period (days)	10-year change in Inundation Period (days)	Runout Control Elevation
Wetland 1 (05-21-B)	Medium	0.03	0.8	1.3	1.5	No change
27-820-W	Medium	0.03	0	0	0	No change

Rule J, Subsection 3.10b requires that any discharge to medium value wetland be treated to the water quality treatment criteria in Rule J, subsection 3.1c.

Rule J, Subsection 3.10b requires that treatment of runoff to medium value wetland meet the water quality treatment criteria in Rule J, subsection 3.1c. Because runoff from the project site is routed to the proposed biofiltration basin and the basin provides the water quality treatment required in accordance with 3.1c.ii, the engineer finds that the proposed project is in conformance with Rule J, Subsection 3.10b.

Rule L: Permit Fee Deposit:

The RPBCWD permit fee schedule adopted in February 2020 requires permit applicants to deposit \$3,000 to be held in escrow and applied to cover the \$10 permit-processing fee and reimburse RPBCWD for permit review and inspection-related costs and when a permit application is approved, the deposit must be replenished to the applicable deposit amount by the applicant before the permit will be issued to cover actual costs incurred to monitor compliance with permit conditions and the RPBCWD Rules. A permit fee deposit of \$3,000 was received on September 15, 2022. The applicant must replenish the permit fee deposit to the original amount due before the permit will be issued. The applicant replenished the permit fee deposit to the original amount by providing \$7,826 on August 17, 2023. Because the costs of reviewing the modification request, administration, and inspections to date have not exceeded the updated fee deposit replenishment amount, the applicant will not be required to replenish the deposit to the original amount due fee deposit amount, the applicant will not be required to replenish the deposit to the original amount or such lesser amount, the applicant will be required to replenish the deposit to the original amount or such lesser amount as the RPBCWD administrator deems sufficient within

30 days of receiving notice that such deposit is due. The administrator will close out the relevant application or permit and revoke prior approvals, if any, if the permit-fee deposit is not timely replenished.

Rule M: Financial Assurance:

The applicant submitted escrow funds totaling \$66,897 to satisfying the original financial-assurance requirement on August 17, 2023. Because the applicant's engineer's opinion of probable cost to modify the already constructed basin to a biofiltration basin (\$13,713.50) is less than the escrow provided for the original construction of the stormwater facility, the existing escrow is adequate to cover the proposed modification.

	Unit	Unit Cost	# of Units	Provided Escrow
Rule C: Erosion Control				
Silt Fence	LF	\$2.50	1,586	\$3,965
Inlet Protection	EA	\$100	6	\$600
Rock Entrance	EA	\$250	1	\$250
Restoration of disturbance	Ac	\$2,500	1.6	\$4,000
Rule D: Wetland Buffer	LS	\$5,000	1	\$5,000
Rule J: Stormwater Management Biofiltration basin: 125% of engineer's opinion of cost (\$37,600)	EA	125% OPC	1	\$47,000
Contingency (10%)		10%		\$6,082
Total Financial Assurance				\$66,897

Applicable General Requirements:

- 1. The RPBCWD Administrator and Engineer shall be notified at least three days prior to commencement of work.
- 2. Construction must be consistent with the plans, specifications, and models that were submitted by the applicant that were the basis of permit approval. The date(s) of the approved plans, specifications, and modeling are listed on the permit. The grant of the permit does not in any way relieve the permittee, its engineer, or other professional consultants of responsibility for the permitted work.
- 3. The grant of the permit does not relieve the permittee of any responsibility to obtain approval of any other regulatory body with authority.
- 4. The issuance of this permit does not convey any rights to either real or personal property, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state, or local laws or regulations.
- 5. In all cases where the doing by the permittee of anything authorized by this permit involves the taking, using or damaging of any property, rights or interests of any other person or persons, or of any publicly owned lands or improvements or interests, the permittee, before proceeding therewith, must acquire all necessary property rights and interest.

- 6. RPBCWD's determination to issue this permit was made in reliance on the information provided by the applicant. Any substantive change in the work affecting the nature and extent of applicability of RPBCWD regulatory requirements or substantive changes in the methods or means of compliance with RPBCWD regulatory requirements must be the subject of an application for a permit modification to the RPBCWD.
- 7. If the conditions herein are met and the permit is issued by RPBCWD, the applicant, by accepting the permit, grants access to the site of the work at all reasonable times during and after construction to authorized representatives of the RPBCWD for inspection of the work.

Findings

- 1. The proposed project includes the information necessary, plan sheets and erosion control plan for review.
- 2. The proposed project, as modified, will conform to Rule J if the Rule Specific Permit Conditions listed above are met.

Recommendation:

Approval of the permit modification and reinstatement of permit 2022-068, as modified, contingent upon:

1. Receipt in recordation a maintenance declaration for the revised operation and maintenance of the stormwater management facility. A draft must be submitted for review and approval by the District prior to recordation.

By accepting the permit, when issued, the applicant agrees to the following stipulations:

- 1. Continued compliance with General Requirements
- 2. Per Rule J Subsection 4.5, upon completion of the site work, the permittee must submit as-built drawings demonstrating that at the time of final stabilization, all the stormwater facility conform to design specifications and function as intended and approved by the District. As-built/record drawings must be signed by a professional engineer licensed in Minnesota and include, but not limited to:
 - a. the surveyed bottom elevations, water levels, and general topography of all facilities;
 - b. the size, type, and surveyed invert elevations of all stormwater facility inlets and outlets;
 - c. the surveyed elevations of all emergency overflows including stormwater facility, street, and other;
- 3. Providing the following additional close-out materials:
 - a. Documentation that disturbed pervious areas remaining pervious have been decompacted per Rule C.2c criteria
- 4. The work on the Kiwatchi development under the terms of the modified permit 2022-068, if issued, must have an impervious surface area and configuration materially consistent with the approved plans. Design that differs materially from the approved plans (e.g., in terms of total impervious

area) will need to be the subject of a request for a permit modification or new permit, which will be subject to review for compliance with all applicable regulatory requirements.

5. Replenish the permit fee deposit to the original amount or such lesser amount as the RPBCWD administrator determines sufficient within 45 days of receiving notice that such deposit is due in order to cover continued actual costs incurred to monitor compliance with permit conditions and the RPBCWD Rules.





CALL BEFORE YOU DIG!

Gopher State One Call

TWIN CITY AREA: 651-454-0002 TOLL FREE: 1-800-252-1166

GRADING & DRAINAGE NOTES

SEE STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN FOR SOIL STABILIZATION INFORMATION.

EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE ESTABLISHED AROUND THE ENTIRE SITE PERIMETER AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH NPDES PERMIT REQUIREMENTS, BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, CITY REQUIREMENTS AND THE DETAILS SHOWN ON THE CIVIL DETAILS SHEETS OF THESE

ALL CURB SPOT ELEVATIONS ARE TO GUTTER LINE, TOP OF BITUMINOUS OR GROUND ELEVATIONS

GRADING, DRAINAGE & EROSION CONTROL SPECIFICATIONS

1. SPOT ELEVATIONS REPRESENT FINISHED SURFACE GRADES, GUTTER/FLOW LINE, FACE OF BUILDING, OR EDGE OF PAVEMENT UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

2. CATCH BASINS AND MANHOLES IN PAVED AREAS SHALL BE SUMPED 0.04 FEET. ALL CATCH BASINS IN GUTTERS SHALL BE SUMPED 0.10 FEET. RIM ELEVATIONS SHOWN ON PLANS DO NOT REFLECT

ALL DISTURBED UNPAVED AREAS ARE TO RECEIVE MINIMUM OF 6 INCHES OF TOP SOIL AND SEED/MULCH OR SOD. THESE AREAS SHALL BE WATERED/MAINTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR UNTIL VEGETATION IS ESTABLISHED. VERIFY WITH LANDSCAPE PLAN. TOP SOIL SHALL CONSIST OF CLAY, SILT AND SAND IN PROPORTION CONDUCIVE TO THE PROMOTION OF ROOT PENETRATION AND PLANT GROWTH AND MUST HAVE A MINIMUM OF 5% ORGANIC MATERIALS.

REFER TO THE REPORT OF GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION AND REVIEW (REPORT NO. P-0008230) DATED 01/25/22 - REVISED 10/05/22 AS PREPARED BY AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING. FOR AN EXISTING SUBSURFACE SITE CONDITION ANALYSIS AND CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS

LOT 1 HOUSE CONSTRUCTION MAY REQUIRE DEEP SOIL CORRECTION. REFER TO AET GEOTECHNICAL REPORT DATED 10/05/22 FOR ALTERNATIVES FOR DEEP FOUNDATION SUPPORT SYSTEMS. ADDITIONAL BORING MAY BE REQUIRED.

STREETS MUST BE CLEANED AND SWEPT WHENEVER TRACKING OF SEDIMENTS OCCURS AND BEFORE SITES ARE LEFT IDLE FOR WEEKENDS AND HOLIDAYS, OR AS DIRECTED BY CITY. A REGULAR SWEEPING SCHEDULE MUST BE ESTABLISHED.

DUST MUST BE ADEQUATELY CONTROLLED.

8. SEE SWPPP FOR ADDITIONAL EROSION CONTROL NOTES AND REQUIREMENTS.

9. SEE UTILITY PLAN FOR WATER, STORM AND SANITARY SEWER INFORMATION.

10. A STREET SWEEPER MUST BE AVAILABLE WITHIN 3 HOURS UPON NOTICE FROM THE CITY THAT THE STREETS NEED TO BE SWEPT.

11. THE CONTRACTOR ALONG WITH THE OWNER SHALL OBTAIN ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM GOVERNING AUTHORITIES, INCLUDING ANY CITY PERMITS AND THE NPDES PERMIT FROM THE MPCA.

GRADING LIMITS (SEE SHEET C3-2 FOR 12. INSTALL EROSION CONTROL SILT FENCE AND TREE PROTECTION MEASURES WITH SILT/TREE FENCE BEFORE BEGINNING SITE GRADING ACTIVITIES. SOME EROSION CONTROLS SUCH AS BALE CHECKS AND TEMPORARY SILT PONDS MAY BE INSTALLED AS GRADING OCCURS IN SPECIFIC AREAS. MAINTAIN EROSION CONTROLS THROUGHOUT THE GRADING PROCESS AND REMOVE WHEN TURF HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED.

> 13. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ADHERE TO ALL REQUIREMENTS OF THE MPCA NPDES PERMIT. THE AREA TO BE DISTURBED SHALL BE MINIMIZED AND TURF SHALL BE ESTABLISHED WITHIN THE TIME

14. GRADES SHOWN ARE FINISHED GRADES.

15. FINAL GRADING TOLERANCES ARE +/-0.1 FEET TO FINISH GRADES.

16. UNDER PAVEMENTS COMPACT THE UPPER 3 FEET OF SUBGRADE TO 100% STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY AT OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT AND 95% STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY BLOW THE UPPER 3 FEET OF SUBGRADE. OUTSIDE PAVEMENT AREAS COMPACT EMBANKMENTS TO 95% STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY.

17. WORKING HOURS ARE 7:00 AM - 7:00 PM, MONDAY - FRIDAY. A CITY APPROVAL IS REQUIRED FOR

18. THE CONTRACTOR MUST HAVE A CITY LICENSE.

19. A CITY RIGHT-OF-WAY PERMIT IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO WORKING WITHIN CITY ROW.

20. STAGING AREAS TO INCLUDE TOPSOIL STOCKPILES & EQUIPMENT PARKING AREAS

21. ALL AREAS WITHIN THE WETLAND BUFFER AREA (OLA) THAT ARE DISTURBED MUST BE RESTORED WITH NATIVE VEGETATION.

2. JAPANESE KNOTWEED SHALL BE ERADICATED PER THE ERADICATION PLAN. PLAN INCLUDES CUTTING ALL VEGETATION DOWN TO GROUND LEVEL WITH CUTTINGS TO BE PLACE IN GARBAGE BAGS AND HAULED AWAY BY THE LOCAL GARBAGE COMPANY. ANY EXCAVATED SOIL WITHIN THE AREA SHALL BE HAULED TO A LANDFILL.

23. TP7. THIS AREA CONTAINS HAZARDOUS MATERIAL WITH SLIGHTLY HIGHER THAN ALLOWED PAH'S. SEE PHASE II ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT FROM AET, 11/29/22. ALL SOIL DISTURBED WITHIN 20' OF TP7 SHALL BE REMOVED AND DISPOSED OF PER JURISDICTIONAL AUTHORITIES.

24. SOIL SURFACES COMPACTED DURING CONSTRUCTION AND REMAINING PERVIOUS UPON COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION WILL BE DECOMPACTED TO ACHIEVE:

i. A SOIL COMPACTION TESTING PRESSURE OF LESS THAN 1,400 KILOPASCALS OR 200 POUNDS PER SQUARE INCH IN THE UPPER 12 INCHES OF SOIL OR ii. A BULK DENSITY OF LESS THAN 1.4 GRAMS PER CUBIC CENTIMETER OR 87 POUNDS PER CUBIC

FOOT IN THE UPPER 12 INCHES OF SOIL. UTILITIES, TREE ROOTS AND OTHER EXISTING VEGETATION SHALL BE PROTECTED UNTIL FINAL REVEGETATION OR OTHER STABILIZATION OF THE SITE.

25. SOILS BENEATH INFILTRATION AREAS SHALL BE RIPPED WITH A TOOTHED BUCKET AT LEAST 18-INCHES TO DECOMPACT. NO EQUIPMENT LARGER THAN A TRACTED BOBCAT IS ALLOWED WITHIN THE BASIN, RIPPING SHALL BE FROM OUTSIDE THE BASIN IF POSSIBLE.

THE PROJECT SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED SO AS TO MINIMIZE THE POTENTIAL TRASNFER OF AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES (E.G. ZEBRA MUSSELS, EURASIAN WATERFOIL, ETC.) TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT

KIWATCH ADDITION

6285 DUCK LAKE ROA EDEN PRAIRIE,

6285 DUCK LAKE, LL

17516 RUSTIC HILLS D EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55

PLANNING CIVIL ENGINEERING LAND SURVEYING LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE ENVIRONMENTAL

7200 Hemlock Lane, Suite 300 Maple Grove, MN 55369 763.424.5505 www.loucksinc.com

CADD QUALIFICATION

CADD files prepared by the Consultant for this project struments of the Consultant professional services for use sol ith respect to this project. These CADD files shall not be use on other projects, for additions to this project, or for completio f this project by others without written approval by the sultant. With the Consultant's approval, others may b rmitted to obtain copies of the CADD drawing files fo formation and reference only. All intentional or unintentional isions, additions, or deletions to these CADD files shall be made at the full risk of that party making such revisions, additions or deletions and that party shall hold harmless and indemnify th sultant from any & all responsibilities, claims, and liabilitie

	SUBMITTAL/REVISIONS
08/22/22 09/15/22 01/17/23 02/16/23	CITY SUBMITTAL SOIL BORINGS WATERSHED COMMENTS WATERSHED COMMENTS
03/17/23	WATERSHED COMMENTS
04/11/23	CITY SUBMITTAL
05/05/23	CITY COMMENTS
06/20/23	CONSTRUCTION PLANS
09/08/23	CITY COMMENTS
04/08/24	BASIN DECOMPACT
10/24/24	BASIN UPDATES

PROFESSIONAL SIGNATURE I hereby certify that this plan, specification or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Engineer under the aws of the State of Minneso

20383 License No

Date

06/20/23

	QUALITY	CONTROL
Loucks Project N	lo.	21680
Project Lead		TWM
Drawn By		HW
Checked By		HW
Review Date		04/11/23

	SHEET INDEX
C0-1	COVER SHEET
C1-1	EXISTING CONDITIONS
C1-2	DEMOLITION PLAN
C3-1	GRADING PLAN
C3-2	SWPPP PLAN
C3-3	SWPPP NOTES
C4-1	UTILITY PLAN
C4-2	STORM SEWER PROFILES
C8-1 & C8-2	CIVIL DETAILS
L1-1	TREE PRESERVATION
L1-2	TREE INVENTORY
L2-1	LANDSCAPE PLANS
L3-1	LANDSCAPE DETAILS







THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CALLING FOR LOCATIONS OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES. THEY SHALL COOPERATE WITH ALL UTILITY COMPANIES IN

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT GOPHER STATE ONE CALL AT 651-454-0002 AT LEAST 48 HOURS IN ADVANCE FOR THE LOCATIONS OF ALL UNDERGROUND WIRES, CABLES, CONDUITS, PIPES, MANHOLES, VALVES OR OTHER BURIED STRUCTURES BEFORE DIGGING. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REPAIR OR REPLACE THE ABOVE WHEN DAMAGED



6285 DUCK LAKE ROA EDEN PRAIRIE,

6285 DUCK LAKE, LL

17516 RUSTIC HILLS DR EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 553

PLANNING CIVIL ENGINEERING LAND SURVEYING LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE ENVIRONMENTAL

7200 Hemlock Lane, Suite 300 Maple Grove, MN 55369 763.424.5505 www.loucksinc.com

CADD QUALIFICATION

CADD files prepared by the Co struments of the Consultant prof ith respect to this project. These CADD files shall not be us n other projects, for additions to this project, or for complete of this project by others without written approval by th tant. With the Consultant's approval, others may a nitted to obtain copies of the CADD drawing files rmation and reference only. All intentional or unin tions, or deletions to these CADD files shall nade at the full risk of that party making such revisions, additio deletions and that party shall hold harmless and indemnify tant from any & all responsibilities, claims, and liak

	SUDIVITIAL/REVISIONS
08/22/22	CITY SUBMITTAL
09/15/22	SOIL BORINGS
01/17/23	WATERSHED COMMENTS
02/16/23	WATERSHED COMMENTS
03/17/23	WATERSHED COMMENTS
04/11/23	CITY SUBMITTAL
05/05/23	CITY COMMENTS
06/20/23	CONSTRUCTION PLANS
09/08/23	CITY COMMENTS
04/08/24	BASIN DECOMPACT
10/24/24	BASIN UPDATES

PROFESSIONAL SIGNATURE I hereby certify that this plan, specification or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Engineer under the aws of the State of Minneso

20383 icense N

QUALIT	Y CONTROL
cks Project No.	21680
iect Lead	TWM

06/20/23

	SHEET INDEX
eview Date	04/11/23
hecked By	HW
rawn By	HW
ojoet Load	

C0-1	COVER SHEET
C1-1	EXISTING CONDITIONS
C1-2	DEMOLITION PLAN
C3-1	GRADING PLAN
C3-2	SWPPP PLAN
C3-3	SWPPP NOTES
C4-1	UTILITY PLAN
C4-2	STORM SEWER PROFILES
C8-1 & C8-2	CIVIL DETAILS
L1-1	TREE PRESERVATION
L1-2	TREE INVENTORY
L2-1	LANDSCAPE PLANS
L3-1	LANDSCAPE DETAILS

UTILITY PLAN



ed: 10 /24 / 2024 2:12 PM W:\2021\21680\CADD DATA\CIVIL_dwg Sheet Files\C8-1 DETAIL!

KIWATCHI ADDITION 6285 DUCK LAKE ROAD EDEN PRAIRIE, MN

6285 DUCK LAKE, LLC

17516 RUSTIC HILLS DRIVE EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55346

PLANNING CIVIL ENGINEERING LAND SURVEYING LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE ENVIRONMENTAL

7200 Hemlock Lane, Suite 300 Maple Grove, MN 55369 763.424.5505 www.loucksinc.com

CADD QUALIFICATION

CADD files prepared by the Consultant for this project are nstruments of the Consultant professional services for use solely vith respect to this project. These CADD files shall not be used on other projects, for additions to this project, or for completion of this project by others without written approval by the Consultant. With the Consultant's approval, others may be ermitted to obtain copies of the CADD drawing files for nformation and reference only. All intentional or unintentional isions, additions, or deletions to these CADD files shall be made at the full risk of that party making such revisions, additions or deletions and that party shall hold harmless and indemnify the onsultant from any & all responsibilities, claims, and liabilities. SUBMITTAL/REVISIONS 08/22/22 **CITY SUBMITTAL** 09/15/22 SOIL BORINGS 01/17/23 WATERSHED COMMENTS 02/16/23 WATERSHED COMMENTS 03/17/23 WATERSHED COMMENTS 04/11/23 **CITY SUBMITTAL** CITY COMMENTS 05/05/23 06/20/23 CONSTRUCTION PLANS CITY COMMENTS 09/08/23 BASIN DECOMPACT 04/08/24 BASIN UPDATES 10/24/24

PROFESSIONAL SIGNATURE I hereby certify that this plan, specification or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the State of Winnesota.

License No. 20383 Date 06/20/23

	QUALITY	CONTROL
oucks Project N	No.	21680
Project Lead		TWM
Drawn By		HW
Checked By		HW
Review Date		04/11/23

-	
	SHEET INDEX
C0-1	COVER SHEET
C1-1	EXISTING CONDITIONS
C1-2	DEMOLITION PLAN
C3-1	GRADING PLAN
C3-2	SWPPP PLAN
C3-3	SWPPP NOTES
C4-1	UTILITY PLAN
C4-2	STORM SEWER PROFILES
C8-1 & C8-2	CIVIL DETAILS
L1-1	TREE PRESERVATION
L1-2	TREE INVENTORY
L2-1	LANDSCAPE PLANS
L3-1	LANDSCAPE DETAILS

CIVIL DETAILS